

ITEM NO.8

COURT NO.12

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 8399/2023

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 27-03-2023 in CRLWP No. 4029/2022 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

CHANDRASEKHAR RAMESH GALANDE

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SATISH GAJANAN MULIK &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 131047/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 131048/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 233449/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 176623/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. & IA No. 176621/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 27-03-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSHU DHULIA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. VINOD CHANDRAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Sandeep Sudhakar Deshmukh, AOR  
Mr. Nishant Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Ankur S. Savadikar, Adv.  
Mr. Viraj M. Parakh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. P. N. Mishra, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, AOR  
Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, Adv.  
Mr. Brij Kishor Sah, Adv.  
Mr. Adarsh Kumar Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Vignesh Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya S. Jadhav, Adv.  
Mr. Narendra, Adv.

Mr. Shantanu Phanse, Adv.  
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.  
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard Mr. Sandeep Sudhakar Deshmukh, learned counsel for the petitioner(s).

The petitioner has filed a criminal case against the

respondent no.1 who is a lawyer, alleging his professional misconduct as well as several other allegations i.e. he gave false promise of favourable outcome and took large sum as fees; colluded with the other side; non-receiving of full consideration of a sale deed in a case of land purchase etc., therefore, the petitioner has attempted suicide by consuming sleeping pills. Ultimately a case was registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420 of the Indian Penal Code (for short "the IPC"). Consequently charge sheet has been filed under Sections 420, 323, 506 & 109 of the IPC before the Court concerned. Thereafter, respondent no.1 moved a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of the said criminal proceedings. The High Court has come to the conclusion that no offence is actually made and quashed the said proceedings as there was only promise to do something and moreover, from the allegations recorded in the FIR itself technically no case is made out.

We see absolutely no reason to interfere with the order of the High Court, in exercise of our jurisdiction under Article 136 of the Constitution of India.

The present petition is, accordingly, dismissed along with pending application(s), if any.

(NIRMALA NEGI)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(RENU BALA GAMBHIR)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR